

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





					Audit	Detail	S					
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)												
Business name (Company nam	e):	Jamuna Ap	parels	s Ltd								
Site name:		Jamuna Ap	parel	s Ltd								
Site address: (Please include ful address)	II	94/95(Old), 46(New), Block-C, Tongi I/A, Tongi, Gazipur-1710.		Country:		Bangladesh						
Site contact and title:	doį k	Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of G			Complic	ance						
Site phone:	+88 01678006351 Site e-mail:				comp	liance@jamu	naappo	ırels.com				
SMETA Audit Pillo	ars:	□ Labour Standards		Saf	Health a fety (plu vironme ar)	us 4-pillar		nment	⊠ Business	Ethics		
Date of Audit:		04 August 20	021									
		pany Name & t Bangladesh	_					F	Report	Owner (payer):	
	•	tertek uality. Assured.						J	Jamune	a Apparels Ltd	d.	
				Au	ıdit Con	ducted	d B	Ву				
Affiliate Audit Company			Purc	hase	∍r				Ret	ailer		
Brand owner			NGC)		☐ Trade Union ☐						
Multi– stakeholder						Com	nbir	ned Aud	it (sele	ct all that app	oly)	



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): None.

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers): Abdullah Muktadir- Asst. Supervisor (RA 21700582), Wadud Ahmed Chowdhury- Assistant Manager (RA 21700679), Davit Tripura - Senior Auditor (RA 21700945), E. M. Saberin Bhuiyan- Senior Auditor (RA 21700585) & Md. Kamrul Hasan - Asst. Supervisor (ASCA 21700579).

Lead auditor: Abdullah Muktadir

Team auditor: Wadud Ahmed Chowdhury, Davit Tripura, E. M. Saberin Bhuiyan & Md.

Kamrul Hasan

Interviewers: Wadud Ahmed Chowdhury, Abdullah Muktadir, Davit Tripura, E. M. Saberin

Bhuiyan & Md. Kamrul Hasan

Report writer: Abdullah Muktadir Report reviewer: Davit Tripura

Date of declaration: 04 August 2021

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post—audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

	Audit Parameters	•			
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 08:40 h Day 1 Time out: 16:25		Day 2 Time in: NA Day 2 Time out: NA	Day 3 Time in: NA Day 3 Time out: NA	
B: Number of auditor days used:	4.7 man-days (Five auditors in one day)				
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define	: :			
D: Was the audit announced?	☐ Announced ☐ Semi – announced: Window detail: 04 weeks ☐ Unannounced				
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why not No N				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture	e detai	l in appropriate audi	t by clause	
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Mohammad Sulaima	n Kabii	r- Head of Complian	ce	
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No				
I: Previous audit date:	10 August 2020				
J: Previous audit type:	Periodic				
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A				
Audit attendance	Management	Work	er Representatives		

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives		
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	



B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
C: Present at the closing meeting?					
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	Not applicable				
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	There is no trade union in this facility, and it is not mandated by law. However, the facility has elected Worker Participating Committee, and the Participating Committee representative were present during the opening and closing meeting.				



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances									
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non- compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment	
Working condition are safe and hygienic, ETI Base Code 3.1 and Bangladesh Labour Act 2006, section 63(1) D (3)	New	It was noted through the facility visit and worker interview that approximately 20% of sewing machine needle guards were displaced from the target position by workers in sewing sections of the 3rd to 5th floor (Building-1) and Shed-1.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of awareness	It is recommended that the facility should increase awareness amongst workers to use machine guards.	60 Days	Desktop	Yes - Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of Compliance			
Working condition are safe and hygienic: ETI Base Code 3.1 and Bangladesh Labour Rules	New	It was noted through facility visit, management, and worker interview that 2 out of 2 snap button machine operators on the 4th floor (building 1) were not provided with perforated mats to trap the sharp buttons on the floor.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of monitoring	It is recommended that the facility should ensure perforated mats near snap button machines to trap the sharp buttons.	30 Days	Desktop	Yes - Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of Compliance			



2015, Rule 67 (2)								
Working condition are safe and hygienic: ETI Base Code 3.1	New	It was noted through the facility visit that dust and fabric waste generated from the cutting and sewing processes were not properly cleaned on the 3rd to 5th floor (Building-1).	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of monitoring	It is recommended that the facility should ensure periodic cleaning of production floors.	60 Days	Desktop	Yes - Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of Compliance	
Working Condition are Safe and Hygienic: ETI Base Code 3.1 and Bangladesh Labour Rules, 2015, Rule 77 (1)	New	It was noted through facility visit and management interview that the facility had a dedicated team with 1 medical officer and 1 nurse for the medical facility. But the facility did not have any medical assistant as per legal requirement.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of monitoring	It is recommended that the facility should arrange necessary staffs in treatment room.	60 Days	Desktop	Yes - Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of Compliance	
Working Hours are not Excessive: ETI base code 6.1 and client specific requirement	New	It was noted through salary sheet & timecards review, management and employees' interviews that consecutive working days were found in the below sampled months in all sections: a) 52 out of 52 sampled employees worked on weekly holidays as a result they have worked consecutive 12 days in the month of July 2021 (Most recent month).	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of monitoring	It is recommended that facility should ensure one rest day for 6 consecutive days work.	60 Days	Follow-Up	Yes - Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir- Head of Compliance	



		1	 	1	
employe weekly h result the consecu the mon	ut of 52 sampled ees worked on holidays as a ey have worked utive 12 days in hth of June 2021 ent paid month).				
employe weekly h result the consecu the mon	ut of 52 sampled ees worked on holidays as a ey have worked utive 13 days in hth of May 2021 rrent paid				
day was month of 2020 (Romonth). Facility the holiday festival (holiday to the leas well as well a communotice to	secutive working s found in the of December andom paid Note that, has adjusted the work with the (Eid-Ul-Azha) which is comply egal requirement as facility nicated this o all employees the notice				

	Corrective Action Plan – Observations						
Number Ca The reference Is number of the observation id from the Audit the	New or arried Over s this a new observation dentified at the follow-up one carried	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)			



for example, Discrimination No.7	over (C) that is still outstanding			
Management system 0B.1 and Client specific requirement	NA	It was noted from facility visit and management interview that the facility has posted Supplier Protector Line in a common place for easy visualization of the employees.	NA	NA
Working Condition are Safe and Hygienic: In accordance with ETI Base Code 3.1 and City Corporation Taxation Rules, 1986, Section: 44 (1)	New	It was noted through document review and management interview trade license of the facility was expired on 30 June 2021. Facility has applied on 14 July 2021 for getting the renewed license from concern authority.	Delayed governmental process due to COVID-19 situation	It is recommended that the facility should have updated trade license.
Living wages are paid 5.1	NA	It was noted from document review (notice document) and management interview as well as employee interview that facility is planning to adjust the national lockdown days (dated 27, 28, 29 & 31 July 2021) with the upcoming weekly holiday works.	NA	NA

	Good examples	
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report,	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments



for example, Discrimination No.7		
Living wages are paid 5.1	Facility management provides attendance bonus to all employees as per company policy.	Documents review, management and employee interview



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.								
A: Site Representative Signature:		Title: Asst. Manager (Admin, HR & Compliance)						
	Mohammad Sulaiman Kabir	Date: 04 August 2021						
B: Auditor Signature:		Title: Lead auditor & team auditors						
	Abdullah Muktadir, Wadud Ahmed Chowdhury, Davit Tripura, E. M. Saberin Bhuiyan & Md. Kamrul Hasan	Date: 04 August 2021						
C: Please indicate below if you, the site	management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no c	disputes.						
D: I dispute the following numbered non	-compliances:							
E: Signed: (If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete		Title						
a signature on this line)		Date						



F: Any other site Comments: None			



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP